INJ INTERNATIONAL NEUROUROLOGY JOURNAL

Medication Safety in High-Risk Situations: A Scoping Review

Bharat Singh Lohchab[1], Dr. Settepalli Jasmin Debora[2], Ms. Rajwant Kaur[3], Mrs. S. Gomathi[4], Mrs. Priya Darshini Namburi[5]

M.Sc Nursing Critical Care Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University, Gurugram, Haryana.

Professor Cum HOD of Adult Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University, Gurugram, Haryana.

Associate Professor, Mental Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University, Gurugram, Haryana.

Associate Professor, HOD of Child Health Nursing, NRI College of Nursing, Chinnakakani, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh

Associate Professor, Faculty of Nursing, NRI College of Nursing, Chinnakakani, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh

Abstract

In view of the complexity and need for immediate care in places like intensive care units (ICUs), emergency departments (EDs), and oncology wards, medication safety in high-risk scenarios is a major problem. The purpose of this scoping review is to map the body of research on pharmaceutical safety in these high-risk settings, identify major themes, and suggest topics for more study. We investigated the various forms of medication errors, their contributing variables, successful therapies, and their effects by conducting a systematic review of research conducted from 2000 to 2023.Our findings reveal that dosing errors, incorrect medication administration, and timing errors are prevalent in high-risk settings, often exacerbated by communication breakdowns, inadequate training, and insufficient staffing. Effective interventions, such as electronic health records (EHRs), barcoding systems, and clinical decision support systems (CDSS), have shown promise in reducing errors and improving patient outcomes. However, technological solutions must be complemented by a robust culture of safety and continuous monitoring to ensure long-term effectiveness. This review underscores the need for a multifaceted approach to medication safety, integrating technological, human, and organizational strategies, and calls for further research to develop and test comprehensive intervention models.

Introduction

In high-risk settings when the intricacy of patient conditions and the urgency of care can dramatically raise the chance of prescription errors, drug safety is of utmost importance to the healthcare industry. High patient acuity, complicated prescription regimens, and the requirement for quick decision-making are characteristics of high-risk environments, which include intensive care units (ICUs), emergency departments (EDs), and cancer wards. These elements make the environment in which healthcare providers operate difficult since even small mistakes can have serious repercussions (Institute for Safe Medication Practices, 2022).

Medication mistakes are a major cause of morbidity and mortality even with improvements in healthcare technology and a rising focus on patient safety. The stakes are much higher in high-risk environments, thus strong risk-mitigation and safe medication procedures are required. Prior research has emphasized a number of drug errors, including timing errors, wrong administration, and dose errors. These errors are frequently made worse by systemic problems such poor staffing, poor communication, and inadequate training (Smith et al., 2019).

The goal of this scoping review is to present a thorough summary of the state of the art when it comes to drug safety in high-risk circumstances. We want to uncover important themes, successful strategies, and outcomes related to medication safety in these contexts by methodically going over the literature from 2000 to 2023. Our objective is to map out the body of research, identify knowledge gaps, and suggest topics for further study in order to help develop more potent methods for improving pharmaceutical safety in high-risk situations. The present review underscores the significance of amalgamating technological, human, and organizational methodologies to establish a healthcare system that is more secure for both patients and providers.

ISSN:2093-4777 | E-ISSN:2093-6931
DOI: 10.5123/inj.2024.1.inj108
Vol. 28 Iss. 2 (2024)

Methods

Scoping Review Framework

The present review adheres to the methodological framework put forth by Arksey and O'Malley (2005). The framework comprises the subsequent stages: formulation of the research question, identification of pertinent literature, study selection, data charting, and the compilation, summarization, and reporting of findings.

Research Question

This scoping review's main research question is: What is the state of medication safety in high-risk scenarios, and what are the best ways to reduce medication errors in these contexts?

Identifying Relevant Studies

A thorough search for works published between 2000 and 2023 was carried out in electronic databases, such as PubMed, CINAHL, and Cochrane Library. Medication safety, high-risk scenarios, medication errors, patient safety, intensive care units, emergency departments, and oncology wards were among the search terms used. Only peer-reviewed English-language articles were included in the search.

Study Selection

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

1. Studies focusing on medication safety in high-risk healthcare settings.
2. Studies that discussed types of medication errors, contributing factors, interventions, and outcomes.
3. Peer-reviewed articles published between 2000 and 2023.
4. Exclusion criteria included:
5. Studies not related to high-risk healthcare settings.
6. Non-peer-reviewed articles.
7. Articles not available in English.

Data Charting

A standardized form was used to extract and chart data from the chosen research. Study characteristics (e.g., author, year, country), setting, high-risk scenario type, types of medication errors, contributory factors, interventions, and outcomes were among the data that were tracked.

Collating, Summarizing, and Reporting Results

The findings were compiled and analyzed thematically, with an emphasis on the many kinds of drug errors, their causes, efficient treatments, and their effects. Based on recurrent patterns and findings throughout the investigations, themes were determined.

Results

Study Characteristics

This review includes 60 studies that satisfied the inclusion criteria. Numerous nations, mainly the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and Australia, hosted the studies. ICUs, EDs, and oncology wards were among the high-risk environments that were investigated.

Types of Medication Errors

Timing problems, dosage errors, and improper medicine delivery were the three categories of medication errors in high-risk situations. The most frequent kind of errors were those with dosage, or giving the incorrect amount of medication. Errors in the administration route or administering the incorrect medication altogether are examples of incorrect pharmaceutical administration. Timing errors occur when medicine is given at the incorrect time or not at all (Smith et al., 2019).

Contributing Factors

Several factors contributed to medication errors in high-risk settings:

Communication Breakdowns: Poor communication among healthcare providers, especially during handoffs and transitions of care, was a significant contributor to medication errors. Studies emphasized the need for standardized communication protocols to reduce these errors (Johnson et al., 2021).

Inadequate Training: Insufficient training of healthcare providers on medication safety practices and the use of new technologies was frequently cited as a factor. Continuous education and training programs were recommended to enhance provider competence (Carayon et al., 2014).

Insufficient Staffing: High patient-to-nurse ratios and nurse fatigue were linked to increased medication errors. Adequate staffing levels and measures to reduce provider fatigue were identified as crucial for improving medication safety (Johnson et al., 2021).

Complex Medication Regimens: The complexity of medication regimens, particularly in oncology wards and ICUs, increased the likelihood of errors. Simplifying medication regimens where possible and using standardized protocols were suggested strategies (Smith et al., 2019).

Effective Interventions

Several interventions were identified as effective in reducing medication errors in high-risk settings:

Electronic Health Records (EHRs): The implementation of EHRs has been shown to improve medication safety by providing accurate and up-to-date patient information, reducing transcription errors, and facilitating better communication among providers (Lee et al., 2020).

Barcoding Systems: Barcoding systems for medication administration ensure that the correct medication is given to the right patient at the right dose and time. Studies reported significant reductions in medication errors with the use of barcoding technology (Thompson et al., 2018).

Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS): CDSS provide real-time alerts and reminders to healthcare providers, helping to prevent medication errors. These systems have been particularly effective in emergency settings, where rapid decision-making is required (Thompson et al., 2018).

Multidisciplinary Teams: The involvement of multidisciplinary teams, including pharmacists, in medication management was highlighted as a key strategy. Pharmacists play a crucial role in verifying medication orders, educating providers, and monitoring patient responses to medications (Carayon et al., 2014).

Outcomes

The implementation of these interventions was associated with several positive outcomes:

Reduction in Medication Errors: Studies consistently reported reductions in medication errors following the implementation of EHRs, barcoding systems, and CDSS. For example, the use of CDSS in emergency departments was associated with a 55% decrease in serious medication errors (Thompson et al., 2018).

Improved Patient Outcomes: Improved medication safety practices led to better patient outcomes, including reduced adverse drug events, shorter hospital stays, and lower mortality rates. The integration of technology and human factors was particularly effective in achieving these outcomes (Lee et al., 2020).

Enhanced Provider Satisfaction: Healthcare providers reported higher satisfaction levels when working with integrated systems that support medication safety. The reduction in errors and improved workflow efficiency contributed to a more positive work environment (Johnson et al., 2021).

Discussion

Integration of Technology and Human Factors

The review highlights the critical role of technology in enhancing medication safety in high-risk settings. EHRs, barcoding systems, and CDSS have proven effective in reducing errors and improving patient o` utcomes. However, technology alone is not sufficient. Human factors, such as adequate training, effective communication, and a culture of safety, are equally important.

Sufficient integration of technology and human aspects necessitates ongoing observation and assessment. In order to support safe medication practices, healthcare organizations must make sure that physicians have received the necessary training to use new technology and that communication mechanisms are in place. Furthermore, ongoing improvement requires a culture of safety in which healthcare professionals are empowered to report mistakes and near-misses without fear of repercussions.

Organizational Strategies

Medication safety is supported in large part by organizational measures. Sufficient staffing numbers are necessary to avoid provider burnout and guarantee that patients receive precisely the right prescription on time,

ISSN:2093-4777 | E-ISSN:2093-6931
DOI: 10.5123/inj.2024.1.inj108
Vol. 28 Iss. 2 (2024)

especially in high-risk environments. Error risk can also be decreased by employing consistent processes and streamlining prescription regimens.

Pharmacy participation in multidisciplinary teams is essential for effective medication management. When it comes to monitoring patient responses, teaching healthcare practitioners, and confirming pharmaceutical orders, pharmacists' specific knowledge and abilities are crucial. Their participation can greatly improve the safety of medications.

Future Research Directions

There are still holes in the literature that need to be filled despite the advancements. Subsequent studies ought to concentrate on creating and evaluating all-encompassing intervention models that incorporate technology, human, and organizational tactics. To assess these strategies' long-term efficacy and pinpoint optimum methods for maintaining gains in pharmaceutical safety, longitudinal research are required.

The effects of cutting-edge technology on pharmaceutical safety, such as machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI), should also be investigated in research. These technologies have the potential to further decrease errors and enhance patient outcomes; however, great thought must go into how to integrate them into the current systems.

Conclusion

In high-risk settings, medication safety necessitates a multimodal approach involving organizational, human, and technical solutions. The significance of combining EHRs, barcoding systems, and CDSS with appropriate training, efficient communication, and a safety-oriented culture has been brought to light by this scoping review. To improve pharmaceutical safety, interdisciplinary teams—including pharmacists—must be involved. Subsequent investigations have to concentrate on creating and evaluating all-encompassing intervention frameworks and examining the consequences of developing technology. Healthcare systems can better safeguard patients and enhance results in high-risk contexts by maintaining their focus on pharmaceutical safety.

References

1. Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(1), 19-32.
2. Carayon, P., Wetterneck, T. B., Rivera-Rodriguez, A. J., Hundt, A. S., Hoonakker, P., Holden, R., & Gurses, A. P. (2014). Human factors systems approach to healthcare quality and patient safety. *Applied Ergonomics*, 45(1), 14-25.
3. Choi, I., Lee, S. M., Flynn, L., Kim, C. M., Lee, S., Kim, N. K., & Suh, D. C. (2016). Incidence and treatment costs attributable to medication errors in hospitalized patients. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 12(3), 428-437.
4. Elliott, R. A., Camacho, E., Campbell, F., Jankovic, D., Martyn-St James, M., Kaltenthaler, E., ... & Payne, K. (2018). Prevalence and economic burden of medication errors in the NHS in England. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 27(9), 684-690.
5. Institute for Safe Medication Practices. (2022). Medication safety in high-risk situations. Retrieved from ISMP Website.
6. Johnson, K. M., et al. (2021). Nurse fatigue and medication errors: A case study. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, 36(1), 45-52.
7. Keers, R. N., Williams, S. D., Cooke, J., & Ashcroft, D. M. (2013). Causes of medication administration errors in hospitals: a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative evidence. *Drug Safety*, 36(11), 1045-1067.
8. Lee, J. H., et al. (2020). The impact of electronic health records on medication safety in high-risk settings. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 16(2), 102-109.
9. Manias, E., Kusljic, S., & Wu, A. (2020). Interventions to reduce medication errors in adult medical and surgical settings: A systematic review. *Therapeutic Advances in Drug Safety*, 11, 2042098620968309.
10. Mazhar, F., Akram, S., Haider, N., & Bhatti, Z. (2017). A review of medication errors in high-risk patients: Elderly, oncology, and pediatric. *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association*, 67(9), 1428-1431.
11. Mueller, S. K., Sponsler, K. C., Kripalani, S., & Schnipper, J. L. (2012). Hospital-based medication reconciliation practices: a systematic review. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 172(14), 1057-1069.
12. Poon, E. G., Keohane, C. A., Yoon, C. S., Ditmore, M., Bane, A., Levtzion-Korach, O., ... & Gandhi, T. K. (2010). Effect of bar-code technology on the safety of medication administration. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 362(18), 1698-1707.

13. Roughead, E. E., & Semple, S. J. (2009). Medication safety in acute care in Australia: where are we now? Part 1: a review of the extent and causes of medication problems 2002-2008. *Australia and New Zealand Health Policy*, 6(1), 18.
14. Smith, M. W., et al. (2019). Common types of medication errors in ICUs. *Critical Care Medicine*, 47(3), 456-462.
15. Thompson, M. C., et al. (2018). Clinical decision support systems reduce serious medication errors in emergency departments. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 72(4), 523-530.
16. Westbrook, J. I., Li, L., Hooper, T. D., Raban, M. Z., Middleton, S., & Lehnbom, E. C. (2013). Effectiveness of a 'Do not interrupt' intervention to reduce interruptions during medication administration: a cluster randomised controlled feasibility study. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 22(9), 707-711.
17. Wetterneck, T. B., et al. (2011). Supporting care transitions between nursing homes and emergency departments. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 20(2), 113-119.